

Non-commutative Algebroid Ricci Flows, Modified Gravity & Deformation Quantization

Sergiu I. Vacaru

*Rector's Office
University Al. I. Cuza (UAIC), Iași, Romania*

Seminar at Department of Theoretical Physics, N I P N E

București, Magurele, Romania
May 30, 2013

Outline some directions of recent and future activity

Aim: establish collaborations on mathematical and theoretical physics, geometry and physics

Four Directions

- 1 **nonholonomic geometric flows evolution:** (non) commutative spaces, Lie algebroids with N-connections, almost symplectic structures
- 2 **(non) commutative geometry, almost Kähler and Clifford structures,** Dirac operators and effective Einstein and Lagrange-Finsler spaces
- 3 **Ricci solitons in non-Riemannian geometry, modified gravity** and PDEs decoupling and off-diagonal solutions
- 4 **geometric methods in quantization** of models with nonholonomic nonlinear dynamics and anisotropic field interactions

- 1 Almost Kähler Models for Einstein & Lagrange–Finsler Spaces
 - Preliminaries: nonholonomic manifolds and bundles
 - Canonical almost Kähler variables, semi–Riemannian & LF
- 2 almost Kähler Lie Algebroids and N–connections
 - Distinguished Lie algebroids and prolongations
 - Canonical structures on Lie d–algebroids
 - Almost Kähler Einstein and Lagrange Lie d–algebroids
- 3 almost Kähler – Ricci Evolution and Lie Algebroids
 - Perelman’s functionals in almost Kähler variables and $\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{E}$
 - N–adapted metric and almost symplectic evolution eqs
 - Geometric thermodynamics of almost Kähler d–algebroids
- 4 almost Kähler Solitons with Lie Algebroid Symmetries
 - Preliminaries on Lie d–algebroid solitons
 - Generalized Einstein eqs encoding Lie d–algebroid structures
- 5 Nonholonomic Spinors, Dirac Operators and Ricci Flows
 - Nonholonomic Clifford structures
 - Noncommutative geometry and Ricci flows
- 6 Ricci Solitons and Deformation Quantization
- 7 Conclusions & Perspectives

slide 4: **Almost Kähler Models for Einstein & Lagrange–Finsler Spaces**

Preliminaries: nonholonomic manifolds and bundles

Nonholonomic manifold: (V, \mathcal{N}) , $[\dim V = n + m \text{ with finite } n, m \geq 2]$

$$\mathbf{N} : TV = hTV \oplus vTV$$

$\mathbf{V} = (V, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{g})$, \mathbf{TV} , or $\mathbf{TM} = (TM, \mathbf{N}, L)$, example $2+2+2+\dots$ splitting

\mathbf{N} -connection: C. Ehresmann – 1955, E. Cartan – 1935

Lagrange space (TM, L) , J. Kern - 1974

regular Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = L(x, y)$, $\tilde{h}_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial y^a \partial y^b}$, $\det |\tilde{h}_{ab}| \neq 0$

Finsler geometry: $L = F^2(x, y)$, $F(x, \xi y) = \xi F(x, y)$, $\xi > 0$

Theorem: $\frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y^j} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^i} = 0$ equivalent $\frac{dx^a}{d\tau} + 2\tilde{G}^a(x, y) = 0$

$y^j = dx^j/d\tau$, for $x^i(\tau)$, $S = y^i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^i} - 2\tilde{G}^a \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a}$

when $\tilde{G}^a = \frac{1}{4} \tilde{h}^{a n+i} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial y^{n+i} \partial x^k} y^{n+k} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^i} \right)$

\mathbf{N} -coefficients: $\tilde{N}_i^a = \frac{\partial \tilde{G}^a}{\partial y^{n+i}}$, $\mathbf{N} = N_i^a(x, y) dx^i \otimes \partial/\partial y^a$

slide 5:

Sasaki lift and canonical d–connections

Corollary: Sasaki lift from M to TM :

prescribed $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{g}} = h\tilde{\mathbf{g}} + v\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$, $(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{N}) \sim (\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, \tilde{\mathbf{N}}[\mathcal{L}])$

Def. d–connection $\mathbf{D} = (hD; vD)$, metric compatible if $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{g} = 0$

Def. Ricci, \mathbf{Ric} , and Einstein, \mathbf{E} , of \mathbf{D} ; d–tens, d–vect: $\mathbf{Y} = hY + vY$

Theor. $\hat{\mathbf{D}} = \nabla + \hat{\mathbf{Z}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{D}} = \nabla + \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}$

$\mathbf{g} = \tilde{\mathbf{g}} \rightarrow$	$\nabla :$	$\nabla \mathbf{g} = 0;$	$\nabla \mathcal{T}^\alpha = 0,$	Levi–Civita connection
	$\hat{\mathbf{D}} :$	$\hat{\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{g} = 0;$	$h\hat{\mathcal{T}}^\alpha = 0, v\hat{\mathcal{T}}^\alpha = 0,$	canonical d–connection
	$\tilde{\mathbf{D}} :$	$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{g} = 0;$	$h\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^\alpha = 0, v\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^\alpha = 0,$	Cartan d–connection

$\mathbf{g} = \{\mathbf{g}_{\alpha'\beta'}\} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{\alpha\beta} = [\tilde{g}_{ij}, \tilde{h}_{ab}], \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha = e^{\alpha'}_\alpha e_{\alpha'}$ and $\mathbf{g}_{\alpha'\beta'} e^{\alpha'}_\alpha e^{\beta'}_\beta = \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{\alpha\beta}$

$\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = \tilde{g}_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j + \tilde{h}_{ab} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}^a \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{e}}^b, \tilde{g}_{ij} = \tilde{h}_{n+i, n+j},$

$\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha = (\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_i = \partial_i - \tilde{N}_i^a \partial_a, \mathbf{e}_a = \partial_a), \tilde{\mathbf{e}}^\alpha = (\mathbf{e}^i = dx^i, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}^a = dy^a + \tilde{N}_i^a dx^i)$

slide 6: **Canonical almost Kähler variables, semi–Riemannian & LF**

Canon alm complex: $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}(\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_i) = -\mathbf{e}_{2+i}$ & $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{e}_{2+i}) = \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_i$, $\tilde{\mathbf{J}} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{J}} = -\mathbb{I}$
 Neijenhuis: $\mathbf{J}^*(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) := -[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] + [\mathbf{J}\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{J}\mathbf{Y}] - \mathbf{J}[\mathbf{J}\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] - \mathbf{J}[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{J}\mathbf{Y}]$

Prop.-Def. $\forall (\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{J}) \rightarrow$ almost sympl struct $\theta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) := \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{J}\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$

- almost Hermitian model of $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{N})$:

$$\mathbf{H}^{n+n} = (\mathbf{V}, \theta(\cdot, \cdot) := \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{J}\cdot, \cdot), \mathbf{J})$$

- \mathbf{H}^{n+n} is almost Kähler, \mathbf{K}^{n+n} , if and only if $d\theta = 0$

Theor.: The Cartan d–connection $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}$ is a unique almost symplectic d–connection: $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}\tilde{\theta} = 0$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}\tilde{\mathbf{J}} = 0$

Theor.: Einstein manifold $Ric = \lambda\mathbf{g}$
 canonical variables, $\hat{R}ic = \lambda\mathbf{g}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{Z}} = 0$

Cartan type almost Kähler variables, $\tilde{R}ic = \lambda\mathbf{g}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}} = 0$

slide 7: almost Kähler Lie Algebroids and N-connections

Def.: d-algebroid: $\mathcal{E} = (\mathbf{E}, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho)$ over a manifold M :

1) \mathbf{N} : $TE = hE \oplus vE$

2) Lie algebroid structure: 2a) a real vector bundle $\tau : \mathbf{E} \rightarrow M$;

2b) a Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on $\text{Sec}(\tau)$ of map τ

2c) anchor map $\rho : \mathbf{E} \rightarrow TM$, $\rho : \text{Sec}(\tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(M)$ of $C^\infty(M)$ -modules

$$[X, fY] = f[X, Y] + \rho(X)(f)Y, \quad \forall X, Y \in \text{Sec}(\tau) \text{ and } f \in C^\infty(M)$$

ρ equivalent to a homomorphism between the Lie algebras $(\text{Sec}(\tau), [\cdot, \cdot])$ and $(\mathcal{X}(M), [\cdot, \cdot])$

Coefficient form: $\rho(e_a) = \rho_a^i(x)e_i$ and $[e_a, e_b] = C_{ab}^f(x)e_f$,
 $\rho_a^i e_i \rho_b^j - \rho_b^j e_j \rho_a^i = \rho_f^j C_{ab}^f$ and $\sum_{\text{cycl}(a,b,f)} (\rho_a^i \partial_i C_{be}^f + C_{be}^d C_{ad}^f) = 0$

Example: Nonholonomic Lie algebroids: $\mathbf{E} = TV$, for $\mathbf{V} = (V, \mathbf{N})$

slide 8: N-adapted prolongation Lie algebroid

Lie d-algebroid $\mathcal{E} = (\mathbf{E}, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho)$ and fibration $\pi : \mathbf{P} \rightarrow M$ over the same manifold M , $u^\alpha = (x^i, y^A) \in P$, particular $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{E}$

anchor map $\rho : \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{TM}$ and the tangent map $\mathbf{T}\pi : \mathbf{TP} \rightarrow \mathbf{TM}$, subset

$$\mathcal{T}_S^{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{P} := \{(b, v) \in \mathbf{E}_x \times T_x\mathbf{P}; \rho(b) = T_p\pi(v); p \in \mathbf{P}_x, \pi(p) = x \in M\}$$

Theor-Definition.

The the prolongation $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{P} := \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}_S^{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{P}$ of a nonholonomic \mathbf{E} over π is another Lie d-algebroid

$$\bar{Z} = z^a \mathcal{X}_a + v^A \mathcal{V}_A \in \mathcal{T}^{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{P} \quad \rho^\pi(Z) = \rho_a^i Z^a \mathbf{e}_i + V^A \partial_A$$

$$\text{Lie brackets } [\mathcal{X}_a, \mathcal{X}_b]^\pi = C_{ab}^f \mathcal{X}_f, \quad [\mathcal{X}_a, \mathcal{V}_B]^\pi = 0, \quad [\mathcal{V}_A, \mathcal{V}_B]^\pi = 0$$

$(\mathcal{X}^a, \mathcal{V}^B)$ the dual bases to $(\mathcal{X}_a, \mathcal{V}_A)$

differential calculus for N-adapted differential forms using

$$dx^i = \rho_a^i \mathcal{X}^a, \quad \text{for } d\mathcal{X}^f = -\frac{1}{2} C_{ab}^f \mathcal{X}^a \wedge \mathcal{X}^b, \quad \text{and } dy^A = \mathcal{V}^A, \quad \text{for } d\mathcal{V}^A = 0$$

slide 9: N-connections on prolongation Lie algebroids

Def. a h - v -splitting $\mathcal{N} : \mathcal{T}^E\mathbf{P} = h\mathcal{T}^E\mathbf{P} \oplus v\mathcal{T}^E\mathbf{P}$

Locally $\mathbf{N} = N_i^A(x^k, y^B)dx^i \otimes \partial_A$ and $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_a^A \mathcal{X}^a \otimes \mathcal{V}_A$.

structures on $T\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathcal{T}^E\mathbf{P}$ are compatible if $\mathcal{N}_a^A = N_i^A \rho_a^i$.

Using \mathcal{N}_a^A , generate sections $\delta_a := \mathcal{X}_a - \mathcal{N}_a^A \mathcal{V}_A$ as local basis of $h\mathcal{T}^E\mathbf{P}$.

Corollary: \mathcal{N}_a^A on $\mathcal{T}^E\mathbf{P}$ determines N-adapted frames

$$\mathbf{e}_{\bar{\alpha}} := \{\delta_a = \mathcal{X}_a - \mathcal{N}_a^C \mathcal{V}_C, \mathcal{V}_A\}, \mathbf{e}^{\bar{\beta}} := \{\mathcal{X}^a, \delta^B = \mathcal{V}^B + \mathcal{N}_C^B \mathcal{X}^C\}$$

Neigenhuis tensor hN of the operator h ,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^hN(\cdot, \cdot) &= [h\cdot, h\cdot]^\pi - h[h\cdot, \cdot]^\pi - h[\cdot, h\cdot]^\pi + h^2[h\cdot, h\cdot]^\pi \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{ab}^C \mathcal{X}^a \wedge \mathcal{X}^b \otimes \mathcal{V}_C, \\ \Omega_{ab}^C &= \delta_b \mathcal{N}_a^C - \delta_a \mathcal{N}_b^C + C_{ab}^f \mathcal{N}_f^C \end{aligned}$$

slide 10: Canonical structures on Lie d-algebroids

Def. d-connection, $\mathcal{D} = (h\mathcal{D}, v\mathcal{D})$, on $\mathcal{T}^E\mathbf{P}$ is a linear connection preserving under parallelism h - v -splitting

Def. torsion and curvature

$$\mathcal{T}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) := \mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}}\bar{y} - \mathcal{D}_{\bar{y}}\bar{x} + [\bar{x}, \bar{y}]^\pi \quad \& \quad \mathcal{R}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\bar{z} := (\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}}\mathcal{D}_{\bar{y}} - \mathcal{D}_{\bar{y}}\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}} - \mathcal{D}_{[\bar{x}, \bar{y}]^\pi})\bar{z}$$

sections $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}$ of $\mathcal{T}^E\mathbf{P}$, $\bar{z} = z^\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha = z^a \delta_a + z^A \mathcal{V}_A$, or $\bar{z} = h\bar{z} + v\bar{z}$.

absolute different for N-adapted $\mathbf{e}_\alpha := \{\delta_a, \mathcal{V}_A\}$ and $\mathbf{e}^\beta := \{\mathcal{X}^\alpha, \delta^B\}$

associating to \mathcal{D} a d-connection 1-form $\Gamma_{\alpha}^{\bar{\gamma}} := \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}} \mathbf{e}^{\bar{\beta}}$

N-adapted coefficients $\mathcal{T} = \{\mathbf{T}_{\beta\bar{\gamma}}^{\alpha}\}$ and $\mathcal{R} = \{\mathbf{R}_{\beta\bar{\gamma}\delta}^{\alpha}\}$

Prop-Definition. metric structure as a nondegenerate symmetric second rank tensor

$$\bar{\mathbf{g}} = \{\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\} = \bar{\mathbf{g}} = h\mathbf{g} \oplus v\mathbf{g}$$

metric compatible data $(\bar{\mathbf{g}}, \mathcal{D})$, $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{D}\bar{\mathbf{g}} = 0$ for h -/ v -components.

slide 11: Canonical d-connection and distortions

On $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbf{E}\mathbf{P}}$, $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} \rightarrow$ the standard torsionless Levi-Civita connection ∇ (which is not N-adapted)

Theor. \exists canonical d-connection $\hat{\mathcal{D}} = h\hat{\mathcal{D}} + v\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ completely defined by data $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}})$ for which $\hat{\mathcal{D}}\bar{\mathbf{g}} = 0$ and zero h - and v -torsions of $\hat{\mathcal{T}} : \hat{\mathcal{T}}^a_{bf} = C^a_{bf}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{T}}^A_{BC} = 0$.

Remarks:

- 1) There is a canonical distortion relation $\hat{\mathcal{D}} = \bar{\nabla} + \hat{\mathcal{Z}}$
- 2) $h\hat{\mathcal{T}}^\alpha = 0$ for $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ on \mathbf{TM} but $h\hat{\mathcal{T}}^\alpha \neq 0$ for $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ on $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbf{E}\mathbf{P}}$, $\hat{\mathcal{T}}^a_{bf} = C^a_{bf}$

Nonholonomic deformations:

$${}^c\hat{\mathcal{D}} := \nabla + {}^c\hat{\mathcal{Z}} : h {}^c\hat{\mathcal{T}}^\alpha = 0 \text{ and } v {}^c\hat{\mathcal{T}}^\alpha = 0.$$

slide 12: Canonical N-connection and almost symplectic structures

Theor. $\forall \mathcal{L} \in C^\infty(\mathbf{E})$ a canonical $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = \{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_a^f = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_a \varphi^f + y^b C_{ba}^f)\}$ determined by semi-spray configurations encoding the solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations

Prop. $\forall \bar{\mathbf{g}} = h\tilde{\mathbf{g}} \oplus v\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, \tilde{\mathbf{g}} := \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \mathbf{e}^{\bar{\beta}} \otimes \mathbf{e}^{\bar{\alpha}} = \tilde{g}_{ab} \mathcal{X}^a \otimes \mathcal{X}^b + \tilde{g}_{ab} \tilde{\delta}^a \otimes \tilde{\delta}^b$
 $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{\bar{\alpha}} := \{\tilde{\delta}_a = \mathcal{X}_a - \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_a^f \mathcal{V}_f, \mathcal{V}_b\}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^{\bar{\beta}} := \{\mathcal{X}^a, \tilde{\delta}^b = \mathcal{V}^b + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_f^b \mathcal{X}^f\}$

$\bar{\mathbf{g}} = \{\bar{\mathbf{g}}_{\bar{\alpha}'\bar{\beta}'}\}, \mathbf{e}_{\bar{\gamma}'} = \mathbf{e}_{\bar{\gamma}'}^{\bar{\gamma}} \mathbf{e}_{\bar{\gamma}'}^{\bar{\gamma}}$ when $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_{\bar{\alpha}'\bar{\beta}'} = \mathbf{e}_{\bar{\alpha}'}^{\bar{\alpha}} \mathbf{e}_{\bar{\beta}'}^{\bar{\beta}} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}$

Riemann-Lagrange almost symplectic structures

Prop.-Def. $\forall \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ canonical almost complex structure on $\mathcal{T}^E \mathbf{E}$ following formulas $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_a) = -\mathcal{V}_{m+a}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{V}_{m+a}) = \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_a, \tilde{\mathcal{J}} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{J}} = -\mathbb{I}$

d-tensor $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} = \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\alpha}} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{e}}^{\bar{\beta}} = -\mathcal{V}_{m+a} \otimes \mathcal{X}^a + \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_a \otimes \tilde{\delta}^a, \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\alpha}} = \mathbf{e}_{\bar{\alpha}'}^{\bar{\alpha}} \mathbf{e}_{\bar{\beta}'}^{\bar{\beta}} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\bar{\beta}'}^{\bar{\alpha}'}$.

Nijenhuis $\mathcal{J} \Omega(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) := -[\bar{x}, \bar{y}] + [\mathcal{J}\bar{x}, \mathcal{J}\bar{y}] - \mathcal{J}[\mathcal{J}\bar{x}, \bar{y}] - \mathcal{J}[\bar{x}, \mathcal{J}\bar{y}]$

for any sections \bar{x}, \bar{y} of $\mathcal{T}^E \mathbf{E}$.

slide 13: Definition of prolongation almost Kähler d-algebroids

almost Hermitian and Kähler d-algebroids

- a) is defined by a triple $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{E}\mathbf{E}} = (\mathcal{T}^{\mathbf{E}\mathbf{E}}, \theta, \mathcal{J})$, where $\theta(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) := \mathbf{g}(\mathcal{J}\bar{x}, \bar{y})$
 b) A prolong Lie d-algebr $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{E}\mathbf{E}}$ is $\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{E}\mathbf{E}}$, if and only if $d\theta = 0$

For effective regular Lagrange configurations,

Theor. Having chosen \mathcal{L} , model equivalently a $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbf{E}\mathbf{E}}$ as a $\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{E}\mathbf{E}}$.

Proof. For $(\bar{\mathbf{g}} = \tilde{\mathbf{g}}, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathcal{J}})$, $\tilde{\theta}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) := \tilde{\mathbf{g}}(\mathcal{J}\bar{x}, \bar{y})$

sections \bar{x}, \bar{y} of $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbf{E}\mathbf{E}}$, N-adapted $\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{g}_{ab}\delta^a \wedge \mathcal{X}^b$, $\theta_{\alpha'\beta'} = e^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\alpha'} e^{\bar{\beta}}_{\beta'} \tilde{\theta}_{\alpha\beta}$

Let $\tilde{\omega} := \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y^{m+a}} \mathcal{X}^a \rightarrow \tilde{\theta} = d\tilde{\omega}$ and $d\tilde{\theta} = dd\tilde{\omega} = 0$

$$\tilde{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}_{ab}(x^i, y^C) \mathcal{X}^a \wedge \mathcal{X}^b + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}_{AB}(x^i, y^C) \tilde{\delta}^A \wedge \tilde{\delta}^B$$

slide 14: The canonical almost symplectic d-connection

Def. A metric compatible almost symplectic d-connection on $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{E}$ of $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{E}$, ${}^{\theta}\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}}\theta = 0, \forall$ section \bar{x} of $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{E}$.

Lemma: fix ${}^{\circ}\mathcal{D}$ on $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{E}$ and construct almost symplectic ${}^{\theta}\mathcal{D}$

Theor. On $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{E}$, \exists unique normal d-connection

$${}^n\mathcal{D} = \{h {}^n\mathcal{D} = ({}^n_h\mathcal{D}_a = \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_a, {}^n_v\mathcal{D}_a = \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_a); v {}^n\mathcal{D} = ({}^n_h\mathcal{D}_A = \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_A, {}^n_v\mathcal{D}_A = \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_A)\}$$

$\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_a \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{bc} = 0$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_A \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{BC} = 0$, completely defined by $\bar{\mathbf{g}} = \tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ and $\mathcal{L}(x, y)$

Theor. ${}^n\mathcal{D} = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ defines a unique almost symplectic d-connection, $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} \equiv {}^{\theta}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$, ${}^{\theta}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}\tilde{\theta} = 0$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}^a_{cb} = \tilde{\mathbf{T}}^A_{CB} = 0$

Concl. $[\bar{\mathbf{g}}, \mathcal{N}, \widehat{\mathcal{D}} = \bar{\nabla} + \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}] \approx [\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, \mathcal{L}, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}] \approx [\tilde{\theta}(\cdot, \cdot) := \tilde{\mathbf{g}}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \cdot, \cdot), {}^{\theta}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}]$

The Lie algebroid structure functions (ρ^i_a, C^f_{ab}) are encoded into nonholonomic distributions on $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{E}$

slide 15: almost Kähler Einstein and Lagrange Lie d-algebroids

Corollary-Definition The Ricci tensor of \mathcal{D} on $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{P}$ with $\bar{\mathbf{g}}$ is

$$\mathcal{R}ic = \{ \mathbf{R}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} := \mathbf{R}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\gamma}} \}$$

N-adapted coefficients for Riemannian d-tensor $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\alpha}} = \{ \mathbf{R}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}\bar{\delta}}^{\bar{\alpha}} \}$
 $\mathbf{R}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} = \{ R_{ab} := R_{abc}^c, R_{aA} := -R_{acA}^c, R_{Aa} := R_{AaB}^B, R_{AB} := R_{ABC}^C \}$

The scalar curvature ${}^s\mathbf{R} := \mathbf{g}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} \mathbf{R}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} = \mathbf{g}^{ab} \mathbf{R}_{ab} + \mathbf{g}^{AB} \mathbf{R}_{AB}$.

the Einstein d-tensor $\mathbf{E}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} := \mathbf{R}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} {}^s\mathbf{R}$

on $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{E}$, or $\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{E}$, respectively, for $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} = \theta\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$.

Prescribing $(\mathcal{L}; \rho_a^i, C_{ab}^f)$, $\hat{\mathcal{R}}ic = \lambda\bar{\mathbf{g}}, \hat{\mathcal{Z}} = 0$

almost Kähler variables, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}ic = \lambda\mathbf{g}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}} = 0$

slide 16: almost Kähler – Ricci Evolution and Lie Algebroids

Perelman's functionals in almost Kähler variables and \mathcal{K}^{EE}

Models of non–Riemannian Ricci flow evolution:

- nonholonomic, Lagrange–Finsler flows, nonsymmetric metrics
- noncommutative Ricci flows; almost Kähler and DQ flows
- fractional derivative and diffusion evolution
- Lagrange–Ricci flows on \mathcal{T}^{EE} ; Flows for \mathcal{K}^{EE}

Remark: proofs for $[\bar{\mathbf{g}} \sim \tilde{\mathbf{g}}, \mathcal{L}, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}] \approx [\tilde{\theta}(\cdot, \cdot) := \tilde{\mathbf{g}}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}\cdot, \cdot), \theta \tilde{\mathcal{D}} = \bar{\nabla} + \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}]$

Lemma: Perelman's functionals equivalently in canon. almost Kähler form

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \check{f}) &= \int_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}} ({}^s \tilde{\mathbf{R}} + |h \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \check{f}|^2 + |v \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \check{f}|^2) e^{-\check{f}} dv, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \check{f}, \check{\tau}) &= \int_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}} [\check{\tau} ({}^s \tilde{\mathbf{R}} + |h \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \check{f}| + |v \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \check{f}|)^2 + \check{f} - 2m] \check{\mu} dv \end{aligned}$$

slide 17: N–adapted metric and almost symplectic evolution eqs

Theorem: a) $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ preserving a symmetric metric structure $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ on $\mathcal{T}^E \mathbf{E}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{ab}}{\partial \chi} &= -(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{ab} + \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}ic_{ab}), \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{AB}}{\partial \chi} = -(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{AB} + \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}ic_{AB}), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{aA} &= -\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}ic_{aA}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{Aa} = \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}ic_{Aa}, \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \chi} &= -(\tilde{\Delta} + {}^z \tilde{\Delta})\tilde{f} + \left| (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} - \tilde{\mathcal{Z}})\tilde{f} \right|^2 - {}^s \tilde{\mathbf{R}} - {}^s \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi} \mathcal{F}(\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \tilde{f}) &= \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}} [|\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{ab} + \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}ic_{ab} + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_a - \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_a)(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_b - \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_b)\tilde{f}|^2 \\ &+ |\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{AB} + \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}ic_{AB} + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_A - \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_A)(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_B - \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_B)\tilde{f}|^2] e^{-\tilde{f}} dv, \quad \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}} e^{-\tilde{f}} dv = \text{const.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{b) } \tilde{\theta} = \tilde{g}_{ab} \delta^a \wedge \mathcal{X}^b \text{ and } \mathcal{K}^E \mathbf{E}, \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{\theta}_{ab}}{\partial \chi} = -\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{[ab]}, \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{\theta}_{AB}}{\partial \chi} = -\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{[AB]}$$

$$\text{On } \mathcal{T}^E \mathbf{E} \text{ with } \hat{\mathcal{D}}, \quad \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{ab}}{\partial \chi} = -2\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ab}, \quad \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{AB}}{\partial \chi} = -2\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{AB},$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{aA} = 0, \quad \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{Aa} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial \chi} = -\hat{\Delta} \hat{f} + \left| \hat{\mathcal{D}} \hat{f} \right|^2 - {}^s \hat{\mathbf{R}}$$

slide 18: Geometric thermodynamics of almost Kähler d–algebroids

Theor. The Ricci flow evolution eqs with symmetric metrics and respective almost symplectic forms on $\mathcal{T}^E E$ and $\mathcal{K}^E E$ are solutions of eqs with the Ricci d–tensor (previous slide) and

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \chi} = -(\tilde{\Delta} + {}^Z \tilde{\Delta})\tilde{f} + \left| (\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_a - \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_a)\tilde{f} \right|^2 - {}^s \tilde{\mathbf{R}} + \frac{2m}{\hat{\tau}},$$

$\frac{\partial \tilde{\tau}}{\partial \chi} = -1$, and conditions for the "minus entropy":

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi} \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{\mathbf{g}}(\chi), \tilde{f}(\chi), \tilde{\tau}(\chi)) &= 2 \int_{\mathcal{V}} \tilde{\tau} [|\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} - \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}ic_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} \\ &+ (\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{\alpha}} - \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\bar{\alpha}})(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{\beta}} - \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{f} - \frac{1}{2\tilde{\tau}} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}|^2] (4\pi\tilde{\tau})^{-m} e^{-\tilde{f}} dv, \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}} e^{-\tilde{f}} dv = \text{const.}$$

slide 19: Thermodynamical values

Remarks: stochastic processes, diffusion, fractional calculus, quantum entropy....

Theor. a) canonical thermodynamic values on $\mathcal{T}^E\mathbf{E}$,

$$\langle \widehat{E} \rangle = -\widehat{\tau}^2 \int_{\mathcal{V}} ({}^s\widehat{\mathbf{R}} + |\widehat{\mathcal{D}}\widehat{f}|^2 - \frac{m}{\widehat{\tau}}) \widehat{\mu} \, dv, \widehat{S} = - \int_{\mathcal{V}} [\widehat{\tau} ({}^s\widehat{\mathbf{R}} + |\widehat{\mathcal{D}}\widehat{f}|^2) + \widehat{f} - 2m] \widehat{\mu} \, dv$$

$$\widehat{\sigma} = 2 \widehat{\tau}^4 \int_{\mathcal{V}} [|\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}ic_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} + (\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{\alpha} - \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\alpha})(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{\beta}} - \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\bar{\beta}})\widehat{f} - \frac{1}{2\widehat{\tau}}\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2] \widehat{\mu} \, dv$$

b) and/or by effective Lagrange and/or almost Kähler Ricci flows on $\mathcal{K}^E\mathbf{E}$,

$$\langle \widetilde{E} \rangle = -\widetilde{\tau}^2 \int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}} ({}^s\widetilde{\mathbf{R}} + |\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}\widetilde{f}|^2 - \frac{m}{\widetilde{\tau}}) \widetilde{\mu} \, dv, \widetilde{S} = - \int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}} [\widetilde{\tau} ({}^s\widetilde{\mathbf{R}} + |\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}\widetilde{f}|^2) + \widetilde{f} - 2m] \widetilde{\mu} \, dv$$

$$\widetilde{\sigma} = 2 \widetilde{\tau}^4 \int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}} [|\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} + \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\alpha}\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{\beta}}\widetilde{f} - \frac{1}{2\widetilde{\tau}}\widetilde{\mathbf{g}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2] \widetilde{\mu} \, dv$$

Proof: using $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}} = \exp \left\{ \int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}} [-\widetilde{f} + m] \widetilde{\mu} \, dv \right\}$ on $\mathcal{K}^E\mathbf{E}$, $\nabla \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$, or $\nabla \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$

partition funct $Z = \int \exp(-\beta E) d\omega(E)$ for a canonical ansamble at temperature β^{-1} ;
 temperature is defined by the measure determined by the density of states $\omega(E)$;
 statistical analogy computing thermodynamical values: $\langle E \rangle := -\partial \log Z / \partial \beta$,

entropy $S := \beta \langle E \rangle + \log Z$ and the fluctuation $\sigma := \langle (E - \langle E \rangle)^2 \rangle = \partial^2 \log Z / \partial \beta^2$

slide 20: almost Kähler Solitons with Lie Algebroid Symmetries

Preliminaries on Lie d-algebroid solitons

Def. The geometric data $[\bar{g} \sim \tilde{g}, \mathcal{L}, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}] \approx [\tilde{\theta}(\cdot, \cdot) := \tilde{g}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \cdot, \cdot), {}^\theta \tilde{\mathcal{D}} = \bar{\nabla} + \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}]$ for a complete Riemannian metric \bar{g} on a smooth $\mathcal{T}^E E, \mathcal{K}^E E$ define a gradient almost Kähler–Ricci d-algebroid soliton if \exists a smooth potential function $\tilde{\kappa}(x^i, y^C)$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}} + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{\beta}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{\gamma}} \tilde{\kappa} &= \lambda \tilde{g}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}, \\ \text{equivalently, } {}^\theta \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{[\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}]} + {}^\theta \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{[\bar{\beta}} {}^\theta \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{\gamma}]} \tilde{\kappa} &= \lambda {}^\theta \tilde{\theta}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}, \end{aligned}$$

\exists three types: $\lambda = \text{const}$: steady ones, for $\lambda = 0$; shrinking, for $\lambda > 0$; and expanding, for $\lambda < 0$.

Prop. Let $(\bar{g} \sim \tilde{g}, \mathcal{L}, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}; \tilde{\kappa})$ be a complete shrinking soliton on $\mathcal{T}^E E, \mathcal{K}^E E$. Using nonholonomic frame deformations, redefined $\hat{\kappa}(x^i, y^C)$, for $\bar{g} \sim \tilde{g}$,

$$\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}} + \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{\beta}} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{\gamma}} \hat{\kappa} = \lambda \bar{g}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}$$

$\hat{\mathcal{Z}} = 0$ and/or $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}} = 0$ result in the Levi–Civita configurations.

slide 21: Generalized Einstein eqs encoding Lie d-algebroid structures

$\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{\widehat{\gamma}\widehat{\kappa}} = \mathbf{e}_{\widehat{\gamma}\widehat{\kappa}} = \kappa_{\widehat{\gamma}} = \text{const}$, i.e. $\delta_a \widehat{\kappa} = \mathcal{X}_a \widehat{\kappa} - \mathcal{N}_a^C \kappa_C = 0$ and $\mathcal{V}_{A\widehat{\kappa}} = \kappa_A$.

$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{P}$ with $2 + 2$ splitting, $a, b, \dots = 1, 2; i', j', \dots = 1, 2$ and $A, B, \dots = 3, 4$.

$u^\mu = (x^i, y^a) = (x^1, x^2, y^3, y^4)$, on $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{E}$, $\mathbf{g} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{g}}$,

Prime metric

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{g} &= \dot{g}_\alpha(u) \mathbf{e}^\alpha \otimes \mathbf{e}^\beta = \dot{g}_i(x) dx^i \otimes dx^i + \dot{h}_a(x, y) \mathbf{e}^a \otimes \mathbf{e}^a, \\ \mathbf{e}^\alpha &= (dx^i, \mathbf{e}^a = dy^a + \dot{N}_i^a(u) dx^i), \\ \mathbf{e}_\alpha &= (\mathbf{e}_i = \partial/\partial y^a - \dot{N}_i^b(u) \partial/\partial y^b, \mathbf{e}_a = \partial/\partial y^a).\end{aligned}$$

$h^* := \partial_3$ and $\mathcal{N}_a^3 = w_a(x^k, y^3)$, $\mathcal{N}_a^4 = n_a(x^k, y^3)$.

Target metric

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\mathbf{g}} &= \mathbf{g}_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}} \mathbf{e}^{\overline{\alpha}} \otimes \mathbf{e}^{\overline{\beta}} = \mathbf{g}_a \mathcal{X}^a \otimes \mathcal{X}^a + \mathbf{g}_A \delta^A \otimes \delta^A \\ &= \eta_a(x^k) \dot{g}_a \mathcal{X}^a \otimes \mathcal{X}^a + \eta_A(x^k, y^3) \dot{h}_A \delta^A \otimes \delta^A\end{aligned}$$

slide 22:

Propos. $\partial_a \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_a$ and $\mathcal{V}_A = \partial_A$

$$-\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_1^1 = -\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_2^2 = \frac{1}{2g_1g_2} \left[\mathcal{X}_1(\mathcal{X}_1g_2) - \frac{\mathcal{X}_1g_1 \mathcal{X}_1g_2}{2g_1} - \frac{(\mathcal{X}_1g_2)^2}{2g_2} \right. \\ \left. + \mathcal{X}_2(\mathcal{X}_2g_1) - \frac{\mathcal{X}_2g_1 \mathcal{X}_2g_2}{2g_2} - \frac{(\mathcal{X}_2g_1)^2}{2g_1} \right] = \lambda,$$

$$-\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_3^3 = -\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_4^4 = \frac{1}{2h_3h_4} \left[h_4^{**} - \frac{(h_4^*)^2}{2h_4} - \frac{h_3^*h_4^*}{2h_3} \right] = \lambda,$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{3a} = \frac{w_a}{2h_4} \left[h_4^{**} - \frac{(h_4^*)^2}{2h_4} - \frac{h_3^*h_4^*}{2h_3} \right] + \frac{h_4^*}{4h_4} \left(\frac{\mathcal{X}_ah_3}{h_3} + \frac{\mathcal{X}_ah_4}{h_4} \right) - \frac{\mathcal{X}_ah_4^*}{2h_4} = 0,$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{4a} = \frac{h_4}{2h_3} n_a^{**} + \left(\frac{h_4}{h_3} h_3^* - \frac{3}{2} h_4^* \right) \frac{n_a^*}{2h_3} = 0;$$

for the potential function $\mathcal{X}_a\widehat{\kappa} - w_a\kappa_3 - n_a\kappa_4 = 0$ and $\mathcal{V}_A\widehat{\kappa} = \kappa_A$,

LC conditions $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}} = 0$;

$w_a^* = (\mathcal{X}_a - w_a\partial_3) \ln \sqrt{|h_3|}$, $(\mathcal{X}_a - w_a\partial_3) \ln \sqrt{|h_4|} = 0$, $\mathcal{X}_bw_a = \mathcal{X}_aw_b$, $n_a^* = 0$, $\partial_an_b = \partial_bn_a$.

a nontrivial source λ , $g_a = \epsilon_a e^{\psi(x^k)}$, $\epsilon_a = \pm 1$ and $h_a^* \neq 0$,

slide 23: Generating off-diagonal solutions

Theor. PDEs decouple in N-adapted form,

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_1 \mathcal{X}_1(\mathcal{X}_1 \psi) + \epsilon_2 \mathcal{X}_2(\mathcal{X}_2 \psi) &= 2 \lambda \\ \phi^* h_4^* &= 2h_3 h_4 \lambda \\ \beta w_A - \alpha_A &= 0, \\ n_A^{**} + \gamma n_A^* &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{for } \alpha_A = h_4^* \partial_A \phi, \beta = h_4^* \phi^*, \gamma = \left(\ln |h_4|^{3/2} / |h_3| \right)^*,$$

$$\text{generating function } \phi = \ln |h_4^* / \sqrt{|h_3 h_4|}|$$

LC-solutions:

$$ds^2 = e^{\psi(x^k)} [\epsilon_1 (\mathcal{X}^1)^2 + \epsilon_2 (\mathcal{X}^2)^2] + \epsilon_3 \frac{(\check{\Phi}^*)^2}{\lambda \check{\Phi}^2} [\mathcal{V}^3 + (\mathcal{X}_a \tilde{A}[\check{\Phi}]) \mathcal{X}^{a1}]^2 + \epsilon_4 \frac{\check{\Phi}^2}{4|\lambda|} [\mathcal{V}^4 + (\mathcal{X}_{an}) \mathcal{X}^{a2}]^2$$

the solutions defining Ricci solitons can be with nontrivial torsion.

Remark: nonholonomically induced torsion,

$$ds^2 = e^{\psi(x^k)} [\epsilon_1 (\mathcal{X}^1)^2 + \epsilon_2 (\mathcal{X}^2)^2] + \epsilon_3 (z_3)^2 [\mathcal{V}^3 + \frac{\mathcal{X}_a \Phi}{\Phi^*} \mathcal{X}^{a1}]^2 + \epsilon_4 (z_4)^2 [\mathcal{V}^4 + ({}_{1n_a} + {}_{2n_a} \int dy^3 \frac{(z_3)^2}{(z_4)^3}) \mathcal{X}^{a1}]^2$$

where the values $z_3(x^k, y^3)$ and $z_4(x^k, y^3)$

page 24: Nonholonomic spinors and Dirac operators

Clifford d–algebra, $\wedge V^{n+m}$ algebra, product $uv + vu = 2g(u, v) \mathbb{I}$;

$${}^h u {}^h v + {}^h v {}^h u = 2 {}^h g(u, v) {}^h \mathbb{I}, \quad {}^v u {}^v v + {}^v v {}^v u = 2 {}^v h({}^v u, {}^v v) {}^v \mathbb{I},$$

$\mathbf{u} = ({}^h u, {}^v u)$, $\mathbf{v} = ({}^h v, {}^v v) \in V^{n+m}$, \mathbb{I} , ${}^h \mathbb{I}$ and ${}^v \mathbb{I}$ are unity matrices $(n+m) \times (n+m)$, or $n \times n$ and $m \times m$.

A metric ${}^h g$ on ${}^h \mathbf{V}$ is defined by sections of $T{}^h \mathbf{V}$ provided with a bilinear symmetric form on continuous sections $\Gamma(T{}^h \mathbf{V})$.

Clifford h–algebras ${}^h CI(T_x {}^h \mathbf{V})$, in any point $x \in T{}^h \mathbf{V}$,

$$\gamma_i \gamma_j + \gamma_j \gamma_i = 2 g_{ij} {}^h \mathbb{I}.$$

Definition: A Clifford d–space on \mathbf{V} , with $\mathbf{g}(x, y)$ and \mathbf{N} is a Clifford bundle $CI(\mathbf{V}) = {}^h CI({}^h \mathbf{V}) \oplus {}^v CI({}^v \mathbf{V})$, Clifford h–space ${}^h CI({}^h \mathbf{V}) \doteq {}^h CI(T^* {}^h \mathbf{V})$, Clifford v–space ${}^v CI({}^v \mathbf{V}) \doteq {}^v CI(T^* {}^v \mathbf{V})$.

page 25: (Almost Kähler) N–adapted Dirac operators

d–gamma matrix relations $\gamma^{\hat{\alpha}}\gamma^{\hat{\beta}} + \gamma^{\hat{\beta}}\gamma^{\hat{\alpha}} = 2\delta^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} \mathbb{I}$,
 action of $du^\alpha \in \mathcal{C}l(\mathbf{V})$ on a d–spinor $\check{\psi} \in \mathbf{S}$, $\mathbf{c}(du^{\hat{\alpha}}) \doteq \gamma^{\hat{\alpha}}$,
 $\mathbf{c} = (du^\alpha) \check{\psi} \doteq \gamma^\alpha \check{\psi} \equiv e^\alpha_{\hat{\alpha}} \gamma^{\hat{\alpha}} \check{\psi}$,

$$\gamma^\alpha(u)\gamma^\beta(u) + \gamma^\beta(u)\gamma^\alpha(u) = 2g^{\alpha\beta}(u) \mathbb{I}.$$

Canon. spin Cartan d–con.: $\theta \hat{\mathbf{S}} \hat{\nabla} \doteq L\delta - \frac{1}{4} \theta \hat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\beta\mu} \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\beta \delta u^\mu$.

Definition: The Dirac d–operator (h–operator) on a spin N–anholonomic manifold $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{S}, J)$ (h–spin manifold $(h\mathbf{V}, {}^h\mathbf{S}, {}^hJ)$, or v–spin manifold $(v\mathbf{V}, {}^v\mathbf{S}, {}^vJ)$) is

$$\mathbb{D} \doteq -i(\hat{\mathbf{c}} \circ \mathbf{s}\nabla) = ({}^h\mathbb{D} = -i({}^h\hat{\mathbf{c}} \circ {}^h\hat{\mathbf{S}}\nabla), {}^v\mathbb{D} = -i({}^v\hat{\mathbf{c}} \circ {}^v\hat{\mathbf{S}}\nabla))$$

Dirac d–operators are called almost Kähler and denoted $\theta \hat{\mathbb{D}} = (\theta \hat{\mathbb{D}}, \theta \hat{\mathbb{D}})$ if defined for the Cartan/ normal d–connection.

page 26: The spectral action/functional paradigm:

Standard models, particles & "extracted" from noncommut. geometry,

spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$, postulating action $\text{Tr} f(\mathcal{D}^2/\Lambda^2) + \langle \Psi | \mathcal{D} | \Psi \rangle$. Tr is the trace in operator algebra, Ψ is a spinor, all defined for a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , Λ is a cutoff scale and f is a positive function. Spectral action depends on spectrum of Dirac operator \mathcal{D} on a space defined by a noncommutative associative algebra $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(V) \otimes {}^P\mathcal{A}$.

Spectral geometry of \mathcal{A} : product rule $\mathcal{H} = L^2(V, S) \otimes {}^P\mathcal{H}$, Hilbert sp. L^2 spinors $L^2(V, S)$, Hilbert space of quarks and leptons ${}^P\mathcal{H}$ fixing the choice of the Dirac operator ${}^P\mathcal{D}$ & action ${}^P\mathcal{A}$ for fund. particles. Dirac operator $\mathcal{D} = {}^V\mathcal{D} \otimes 1 + \gamma_5 \otimes {}^P\mathcal{D}$, Dirac operator ${}^V\mathcal{D}$ of the Levi-Civita spin connection on V . Spectral functionals contain in commutative limit the Perelman's functionals for Ricci flows.

Scal prod on $\Gamma^\infty(\mathbf{S})$, $\langle \check{\psi}, \check{\phi} \rangle \doteq \int_{\mathbf{V}} (\check{\psi} | \check{\phi}) | \nu_{\mathbf{g}} |$, \mathbf{V} : $\nu_{\mathbf{g}} = \sqrt{\det|g| \det|h|} dx^1 \dots dx^n dy^{n+1} \dots dy^{n+m}$
Hilbert d-space by completing $\Gamma^\infty(\mathbf{S})$, sc. pr.

${}^N\mathcal{H} := L_2(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{S}) = [{}^h\mathcal{H} = L_2({}^h\mathbf{V}, {}^h\mathbf{S}), {}^v\mathcal{H} = L_2({}^v\mathbf{V}, {}^v\mathbf{S})]$

A canonical (almost Kähler) spectral d-triple $({}^N\mathcal{A}, {}^N\mathcal{H}, {}_\theta\widehat{\mathbb{D}})$ for a d-algebra ${}^N\mathcal{A}$ is defined by 1) a Hilbert d-space ${}^N\mathcal{H}$, 2) a representation of ${}^N\mathcal{A}$ in the algebra ${}^N\mathcal{B}({}^N\mathcal{H})$ of d-operators bounded on ${}^N\mathcal{H}$, 3) by a self-adjoint d-operator ${}^N\mathcal{H}$, of compact resolution, (an operator D is of compact resolution if for any $\lambda \in \text{sp}(D)$ the operator $(D - \lambda\mathbb{I})^{-1}$ is compact) such that $[{}^N\mathcal{H}, a] \in {}^N\mathcal{B}({}^N\mathcal{H})$ for any $a \in {}^N\mathcal{A}$.

page 27: Spectral triples and distance in d-spinor spaces

Theorem: (Distance) Let $({}^N\mathcal{A}, {}^N\mathcal{H}, {}_\theta\widehat{\mathbb{D}}, \mathbf{J}, [{}_c\Gamma])$ a noncom. geometry, irreducible for

${}^N\mathcal{A} \doteq C^\infty(\mathbf{V})$, where \mathbf{V} is a compact, connected and oriented manifold without boundaries, of spectral dimension $\dim \mathbf{V} = n + n$. There are satisfied:

- 1 \exists a unique d-metric $\mathbf{g}({}_\theta\widehat{\mathbb{D}}) = ({}^h\mathbf{g}, {}^v\mathbf{g})$, "nonlinear geodes." dist. on \mathbf{V} ,
 $d(u_1, u_2) = \sup_{f \in C(\mathbf{V})} \left\{ f(u_1, u_2) / \left\| [{}_\theta\widehat{\mathbb{D}}, f] \right\| \leq 1 \right\}, \forall \text{ smooth } f \in C(\mathbf{V}).$
- 2 An almost Kähler model of N-anholonomic manifold \mathbf{V} is a spin N-anholonomic space, operators ${}_\theta\widehat{\mathbb{D}}'$ satisfying the condition $\mathbf{g}({}_\theta\widehat{\mathbb{D}}') = \mathbf{g}({}_\theta\widehat{\mathbb{D}})$ (and canonically derived almost Kähler spaces with ${}^L\theta({}_\theta\widehat{\mathbb{D}}') = {}^L\theta({}_\theta\widehat{\mathbb{D}})$) define an union of affine spaces identified by the d-spinor structures on \mathbf{V} .
- 3 The functional $\mathcal{S}({}_\theta\widehat{\mathbb{D}}) \doteq \int |{}_\theta\widehat{\mathbb{D}}|^{-n-n+2}$ defines a quadratic d-form with $(n+n)$ -splitting for every affine space which is minimal for ${}_\theta\widehat{\mathbb{D}} = {}_\theta\overleftarrow{\mathbb{D}}$ as the canonical almost Kähler Dirac d-operator corresponding to the d-spin structure with the minimum proportional to the Einstein-Hilbert action for the canonical Cartan/ normal d-connection with d-scalar curv. ${}^s_\theta\mathbf{R}$,
 $\mathcal{S}({}_\theta\overleftarrow{\mathbb{D}}) = -\frac{n-1}{12} \int_{\mathbf{V}} {}^s_\theta\mathbf{R} \sqrt{{}^h\mathbf{g}} \sqrt{{}^v\mathbf{h}} dx^1 \dots dx^n \delta y^{n+1} \dots \delta y^{n+n}.$

page 28: Spectral nonholonomic flows and Perelman functionals

Family of generalized d-operators

$${}_{\theta}\mathcal{D}^2(\chi) = -\left[\frac{\mathbb{I}}{2} {}^L\theta^{\alpha\beta}(\chi)[{}^L\mathbf{e}_{\alpha}(\chi) {}^L\mathbf{e}_{\beta}(\chi) - {}^L\mathbf{e}_{\beta}(\chi) {}^L\mathbf{e}_{\alpha}(\chi)] + \mathbf{A}^{\nu}(\chi) {}^L\mathbf{e}_{\nu}(\chi) + \mathbf{B}(\chi)\right]$$

$\chi \in [0, \chi_0)$, matrices $\mathbf{A}^{\nu}(\chi)$ and $\mathbf{B}(\chi)$ determined by ${}_{\theta}\mathbb{D}$ induced by ${}_{\theta}\mathbf{D}$; for the Cartan/ normal d-connection, ${}_{\theta}\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^2$, $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}^{\nu}$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{B}}$. We introduce functionals \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{W} depending on χ ,

$$\mathcal{F} = \text{Tr} \left[{}^1f(\chi) ({}^1\phi \mathcal{D}^2(\chi) / \Lambda^2) \right] \simeq \sum_{k \geq 0} {}^1f_{(k)}(\chi) {}^1a_{(k)} ({}^1\phi \mathcal{D}^2(\chi) / \Lambda^2)$$

$$\mathcal{W} = {}^2\mathcal{W} + {}^3\mathcal{W},$$

$$\text{for } {}^e\mathcal{W} = \text{Tr} \left[{}^ef(\chi) ({}^e\phi \mathcal{D}^2(\chi) / \Lambda^2) \right] = \sum_{k \geq 0} {}^ef_{(k)}(\chi) {}^ea_{(k)} ({}^e\phi \mathcal{D}^2(\chi) / \Lambda^2),$$

cutting parameter Λ^2 for both cases $e = 2, 3$. Functions bf , with label b taking values 1, 2, 3. Coefficients computed as "N-adapted" Seeley – de Witt coefficients.

page 29: Main Theorems on "noncommutative" Perelman functionals

Theorem: For the scaling factor ${}^1\phi = -f/2$, the first spectral functional $\mathcal{F} = {}^P\mathcal{F}({}^L\theta, {}^\theta\mathbf{D}, f)$ can be approximated as the first Perelman functional

$${}^P\mathcal{F} = \int_V \delta V e^{-f} [{}^\theta\mathbf{R}(e^{-f} {}^L\theta_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{3}{2} e^f {}^L\theta^{\alpha\beta} ({}^L\mathbf{e}_\alpha f {}^L\mathbf{e}_\beta f - {}^L\mathbf{e}_\beta f {}^L\mathbf{e}_\alpha f)].$$

Theorem: 2d spectr. funct. $\mathcal{W} = {}^P\mathcal{W}({}^L\theta, {}^\theta\mathbf{D}, f)$ is approx. as 2d Perelman funct.

$${}^P\mathcal{W} = \int_V \delta V \mu \times [\chi({}^\theta\mathbf{R}(e^{-f} {}^L\theta_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{3}{2} e^f {}^L\theta^{\alpha\beta} ({}^L\mathbf{e}_\alpha f {}^L\mathbf{e}_\beta f - {}^L\mathbf{e}_\beta f {}^L\mathbf{e}_\alpha f)) + f - 2],$$

for scaling ${}^2\phi = -f/2$ in ${}^2\mathcal{W}$, ${}^3\phi = (\ln|f-2| - f)/2$ in ${}^3\mathcal{W}$.

Conclusion: The Ricci flow theory of almost Kähler – Finsler/ -Lagrange / -Einstein spaces can be extracted from noncommutative geometry.

page 30: Ricci Solitons & DQ

Aim: Perform DQ using N–adapted frames (for Fedosov operators), the Cartan d–connection and distortions with Neijenhuis tensor, \rightarrow star product.

$$\check{\Gamma}_{\beta'\gamma'}^{\alpha'} = \check{\mathbf{e}}_{\alpha'}^{\beta} \check{\mathbf{e}}_{\beta'}^{\gamma} \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} + \check{\mathbf{e}}_{\alpha'}^{\gamma} \mathbf{e}_{\beta'}^{\alpha} (\check{\mathbf{e}}_{\beta'}^{\alpha}), \check{\Gamma}' = \Gamma + \check{Z}$$

$\check{\mathbf{e}}_{\nu'} = \check{\mathbf{e}}_{\nu'}^{\nu}(u) \mathbf{e}_{\nu}$, $\check{\mathbf{e}}^{\nu'} = \check{\mathbf{e}}_{\nu'}^{\nu}(u) \mathbf{e}^{\nu}$, new sets $\check{\mathbf{N}} = \{\check{N}_j^{a'}\}$ when $\check{\mathbf{T}}_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} = (1/4)\check{\Omega}_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}$.

"Formal power" series and Wick product

$C^{\infty}(\mathbf{V})[[\ell]]$ of "formal series" on ℓ with coefficients from $C^{\infty}(\mathbf{V})$ on a Poisson $(\mathbf{V}, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$, where the bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$. Operator

$${}_1f * {}_2f = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} {}_rC({}_1f, {}_2f) \ell^r,$$

${}_rC, r \geq 0$, are bilinear operators with ${}_0C({}_1f, {}_2f) = {}_1f {}_2f$ and ${}_1C({}_1f, {}_2f) - {}_1C({}_2f, {}_1f) = i\{{}_1f, {}_2f\}$; $i^2 = -1$; an associative algebra structure on $C^{\infty}(\mathbf{V})[[\ell]]$ with a ℓ –linear and ℓ –addical continuous star product.

Local coordinates $(u, z) = (u^\alpha, z^\beta)$, on $T\mathbf{V}$; elements as series

$$a(v, z) = \sum_{r \geq 0, |\{\alpha\}| \geq 0} a_{r, \{\alpha\}}(u) z^{\{\alpha\}} \ell^r, \text{ is a multi-index } \{\alpha\}$$

On $T_u\mathbf{V}$, a formal Wick product with $\check{\lambda}^{\alpha\beta} := \check{\theta}^{\alpha\beta} - i \check{\rho}^{\alpha\beta}$,

$$a \circ b(z) := \exp \left(i \frac{\ell}{2} \check{\lambda}^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^\alpha \partial z_{[1]}^\beta} \right) a(z) b(z_{[1]}) \Big|_{z=z_{[1]}}$$

The d-connection extended on space $\check{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \check{\mathcal{L}}$ to operator

$$\check{\mathbf{D}}(a \otimes \xi) := \left(\check{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha(a) - u^\beta \check{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma z^\beta \check{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha(a) \right) \otimes (\check{\mathbf{e}}^\alpha \wedge \xi) + a \otimes d\xi,$$

where $z^\beta \check{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha$ is similar to $\check{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha$ but depend on z -variables. This operator is a N -adapted \deg_a -graded derivation of the d -algebra $(\check{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \check{\mathcal{L}}, \circ)$.

page 32: Fedosov N–adapted operators

Definition: The Fedosov N–adapted operators are

$$\check{\delta}(a) = \check{\mathbf{e}}^\alpha \wedge {}^z \check{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha(a) \text{ and } \check{\delta}^{-1}(a) = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{p+q} z^\alpha \check{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha(a), & \text{if } p+q > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } p=q=0, \end{cases}$$

$a \in \check{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \Lambda$ is homogeneous w.r.t. the grading $\deg_s(a) = p, \deg_a(a) = q$.

Theorem: Any d-metric/ equivalent symplectic structure, $\check{\theta}(\cdot, \cdot) := \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{J}^\check{\cdot}, \cdot)$, define a flat canonical Fedosov d–connection $\check{\mathcal{D}} := -\check{\delta} + \check{\mathbf{D}} - \frac{i}{\ell} \text{ad}_{\text{Wick}}(r)$; $\check{\mathcal{D}}^2 = 0$; \exists a unique element $r \in \check{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \check{\Lambda}$, $\deg_a(r) = 1, \check{\delta}^{-1}r = 0$, solving $\check{\delta}r = \check{\mathcal{T}} + \check{\mathcal{R}} + \check{\mathbf{D}}r - \frac{i}{\ell}r \circ r$. Recursively,

$$\begin{aligned} r^{(0)} &= r^{(1)} = 0, r^{(2)} = \check{\delta}^{-1} \check{\mathcal{T}}, r^{(3)} = \check{\delta}^{-1}(\check{\mathcal{R}} + \check{\mathbf{D}}r^{(2)} - \frac{i}{\ell}r^{(2)} \circ r^{(2)}), \\ r^{(k+3)} &= \check{\delta}^{-1}(\check{\mathbf{D}}r^{(k+2)} - \frac{i}{\ell} \sum_{l=0}^k r^{(l+2)} \circ r^{(l+2)}), k \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

$a^{(k)}$ is the *Deg*–homogeneous component of degree k of $a \in \check{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \check{\Lambda}$.

page 33: Main theorems for Fedosov–Ricci solitons

Analogs of torsion and curvature operators of \check{D} on $\check{W} \otimes \check{\Lambda}$,

$$\check{T} := \frac{z^\gamma}{2} \check{\theta}_{\gamma\tau} \check{T}_{\alpha\beta}^\tau(u) \check{e}^\alpha \wedge \check{e}^\beta, \quad \check{R} := \frac{z^\gamma z^\varphi}{4} \check{\theta}_{\gamma\tau} \check{R}_{\varphi\alpha\beta}^\tau(u) \check{e}^\alpha \wedge \check{e}^\beta$$

Properties: $[\check{D}, \check{\delta}] = \frac{i}{\ell} ad_{Wick}(\check{T})$ and $\check{D}^2 = -\frac{i}{\ell} ad_{Wick}(\check{R})$.

The bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the \deg_a -graded commutator of endomorphisms of $\check{W} \otimes \check{\Lambda}$ and ad_{Wick} is defined via the \deg_a -graded commutator in $(\check{W} \otimes \check{\Lambda}, \circ)$.

Theorem 1: A star-product for the almost Kähler model

of a nonholonomic Ricci soliton is defined on $C^\infty(\mathbf{V})[[\ell]]$ by

$${}^1f * {}^2f \doteq \sigma(\tau({}^1f)) \circ \sigma(\tau({}^2f)),$$

where the projection $\sigma : \check{W}_{K_D} \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbf{V})[[\ell]]$ onto the part of \deg_s -degree zero is a bijection and the inverse map $\tau : C^\infty(\mathbf{V})[[\ell]] \rightarrow \check{W}_D$ can be calculated recursively w.r.t the total degree $Deg_{\tau}(f)^{(0)} = f$,

$$\tau(f)^{(k+1)} = \check{\delta}^{-1} \left(\check{D}_\tau(f)^{(k)} - \frac{i}{v} \sum_{l=0}^k ad_{Wick}(r^{(l+2)})(\tau(f)^{(k-l)}) \right), \text{ for } k \geq 0.$$

$f\xi$ is the Hamiltonian vector field for a function $f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{V})$ on $(\mathbf{V}, \check{\theta})$. Antisymmetric $-C({}^1f, {}^2f) := \frac{1}{2}(C({}^1f, {}^2f) - C({}^2f, {}^1f))$ of bilinear $C({}^1f, {}^2f)$.

A star-product is normalized if ${}^1C({}^1f, {}^2f) = \frac{i}{2}\{{}^1f, {}^2f\}$, $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the Poisson bracket defined by $\check{\theta}$. For a normalized $*$, the bilinear 2C is a de Rham–Chevalley 2-cocycle \exists a unique closed 2-form $\check{\chi}$, ${}^2C({}^1f, {}^2f) = \frac{1}{2}\check{\chi}({}^1\xi, {}^2\xi)\forall {}^1f, {}^2f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{V})$.

Consider the class c_0 of a normalized star-product $*$ as the equivalence class $c_0(*) \doteq [\check{\chi}]$, computed as a unique 2-form,

$$\check{\chi} = -\frac{i}{8}\mathbf{J}_\tau^{\alpha'}\check{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha'\alpha\beta}^\tau\check{\mathbf{e}}^\alpha \wedge \check{\mathbf{e}}^\beta - i\check{\chi}, \text{ for } \check{\chi} = d\check{\mu}, \check{\mu} = \frac{1}{6}\mathbf{J}_\tau^{\alpha'}\check{\mathbf{T}}_{\alpha'\beta}^\tau\check{\mathbf{e}}^\beta.$$

The h- and v-projections $h\Pi = \frac{1}{2}(Id_h - iJ_h)$ and $v\Pi = \frac{1}{2}(Id_v - iJ_v)$.

The final step is to compute the closed Chern–Weyl form

$$\check{\gamma} = -iTr[(h\Pi, v\Pi)\check{\mathbf{R}}(h\Pi, v\Pi)^T] = -iTr[(h\Pi, v\Pi)\check{\mathbf{R}}] = -\frac{1}{4}\mathbf{J}_\tau^{\alpha'}\check{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha'\alpha\beta}^\tau\check{\mathbf{e}}^\alpha \wedge \check{\mathbf{e}}^\beta.$$

The canonical class is $\check{\varepsilon} := [\check{\gamma}] \rightarrow$ proof of

Theorem 2: The zero-degree cohomology coefficient $c_0(*)$ for the almost Kähler

model of a nonholonomic Ricci soliton is $c_0(*) = -(1/2i)\check{\varepsilon}$.

slide 35: Conclusions & Perspectives

Key results

- (Non) Commutative Ricci flow evolution theory for almost Kähler models of Lie algebroids endowed with canonical N -connection structure
- Decoupling property of the Ricci soliton eqs for nonholonomic Lie algebroids and exact solutions in Modified Gravity
- Deformation quantization of almost Kähler geometries and physical models

Directions for future

- Supersymmetric Ricci flows, quantum groups and deformation / geometric quantization of Lie algebroids
- Noncommutative Ricci flows on Lie algebroids, Dirac operators, spectral triples, generalized symplectic structures, quantum group models
- Modified gravity theories and algebroid Ricci solitons
- Exact solutions with generalized Lie algebroid symmetries (cosmological scenarios, brane models with generalized symmetries)

THANKS!